



University of Houston System

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

August 31, 2025



Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Board of Regents
University of Houston System
Houston, Texas

We have performed the procedures enumerated in the attachment to this report on the University of Houston System's (University) compliance with the requirements set forth in Section 12.4.4 of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas's (CPRIT) *Grant Policies and Procedures Guide* as of and for the year ended August 31, 2025. The management of the University is responsible for its aforementioned compliance with the requirements set forth in Section 12.4.4 of CPRIT's *Grant Policies and Procedures Guide*.

The University has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of assessing the University's compliance with Section 12.4.4 of CPRIT's *Grant Policies and Procedures Guide* as of and for the year ended August 31, 2025. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report, and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes.

The procedures and the associated findings are described in the attachment to this report.

We were engaged by the University to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on compliance with Section 12.4.4 of CPRIT's *Grant Policies and Procedures Guide*. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the University and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties above and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Forvis Mazars, LLP

**Houston, Texas
February 4, 2026**

Processes and Controls

Procedures

1. Inquire of the University's management about whether they have assessed the adequacy of internal controls addressing grant noncompliance, errors, and fraud. Observe documentation of that assessment. Compare the items listed in the risk assessment to the following controls and assess whether the following were included:
 - a. Contract compliance, including submission;
 - b. Expenditures, including payroll and equipment;
 - c. Project income; and
 - d. Reporting, including performance measurement, matching, indirect cost application, and early termination.
2. Confirm that the University has documented policies and procedures to account for the receipts and disbursement of the CPRIT funds.

Finding

No exceptions noted.

Contract

Procedures

1. Obtain the contract for each grant tested and determine to see if the contract included internal Institutional Review Board approval for the use of human subjects, animals, or biohazards. If so, obtain documentation from the grantee showing that the applicable review was done by the appropriate board prior to contract execution as evidenced by signature of board meeting minutes.
2. Determine, through comparison to the University's policies, whether the University is required to have a written standard of conduct and comply with federal law to promote objectivity in research. If so, verify that a conflict of interest statement(s) for all individual principal investigators was signed prior to the effective date of the grant and that the conflict of interest statement(s) was (were) updated as necessary to comply with these provisions.
3. Inquire of management and/or those charged with grant oversight whether the grantee completed all assurances and certifications in Attachment C, Assurances and Certifications, of the grant contract.

Finding

No exceptions noted.

Indirect Costs

Procedures

1. Obtain and read each grant contract and assess whether there is an indirect cost rate specified.

-
2. To test the indirect cost rate, select a sample of the lesser of 10% or 25 indirect costs charged to the grant(s) and perform the following:
 - a. Obtain a list of eligible indirect costs from the University. Agree the description of the costs in the sample to the list of eligible costs. Obtain supporting documentation for the transactions in the sample and assess whether the description is valid. Document any exceptions.
 - b. Obtain from the University's accounting records the listing of direct costs charged to the grant. Compare the sample of indirect costs to the listing of direct costs to assess whether they were not included as direct costs. Document any exceptions.
 3. Select a sample of two (2) financial status report (Form 269a) submissions for each grant and recompute the indirect cost rate used based on the requirements noted in the grant contract obtained in procedure 1 above. Compare the recalculated rate to the rate used and document any variance.

Finding

No exceptions noted. In procedure 2, the sample size is 7, 12, and 4 items for grants R210148, RP240401, and RP200409, respectively.

Matching

Procedures

1. Obtain each grant contract and assess whether it includes a matching fund requirement. If it does not, no further procedures in this section are necessary. Note: Matching fund requirements applicable to public and private institutions of higher education allow the grantee to receive partial or full matching funds credit. See section Matching Funds – Certification and Verification.
2. Inquire of management and/or those charged with grant oversight whether a tracking mechanism, such as a separate fund/account, was created to track matching fund expenditures. Document management's response.
3. Obtain the grantee's matching certification to assess whether matching funds (as noted in the grant contract obtained in procedure 1 above) were certified prior to grant start. View the grantee's documentation supporting that the matching funds were set aside to be spent on the funded grant only. Document any variance in the amount of matching funds.
4. As of the end of the CPRIT program year, view the grantee's documentation supporting that the matching funds were set aside to be spent on the funded grant(s) only that is/are the subject of the award(s). Obtain the bank statement(s) related to the account(s) that holds the matching funds and tie in the total bank balance per the grantee's records to the month-end reconciliation.

Finding

Selected grants had matching fund requirements: R210148, RP240401, and RP200409. No exceptions noted.

Expenditures (Excluding Payroll-Related Transactions)

Procedures

1. Inquire of management and/or those charged with grant oversight as to whether the University has a purchasing policy that establishes a competitive procurement process and safeguards against bias or conflicts of interest.

-
2. Inquire of management and/or those charged with grant oversight whether the University has a process in place to ensure that entities only purchase from a vendor list (which excludes vendors that are federally debarred or suspended) that has been approved by management and/or those charged with governance as evidenced by signature and date. Document management's response.
 3. To test nonpayroll direct expenditures for each grant, select a sample of the lesser of 10% or 60 nonpayroll-related expenditures. For each item selected, perform the following:
 - a. Agree the sample disbursement to the receipt or invoice supporting the amount and payee.
 - b. Observe physical or electronic evidence of approval to determine that the purchase was approved and/or reviewed by management or those involved with oversight of the grant (with knowledge of the grant requirements).
 - c. Trace the description of the purchased goods and/or services received to the underlying supporting documentation and agree the description to items allowable per Texas Grant Management Standards (TxGMS), the grant contract, and the CPRIT *Policies and Procedures Guide*.
 - d. If the item selected is travel related, assess whether the travel was related to the grant based on the inquiry of management or review of supporting documentation. Compare the description of the travel to the narrative and assess whether any discrepancy exists.
 - e. If the item selected is related to international travel, observe physical or electronic evidence of approval to determine whether it was approved by CPRIT prior to the initiation of the travel.
 - f. If the expended item was transacted prior to the related CPRIT grant being awarded, assess whether the costs were authorized by CPRIT per review of correspondence or other verification documentation.
 - g. Assess whether the vendor was preapproved by the University prior to the purchase by agreeing the vendor to the preapproved vendor list noted in procedure 2 above.
 - h. Compare the purchase to the approved grant budget and assess whether the purchase was included in the approved grant budget provided to CPRIT.
 - i. Obtain supporting documentation that the transaction occurred during the period covered by the grant award.

Finding

No exceptions noted. In procedure 3, the sample size is 6, 14, and 6 items for grants R210148, RP240401, and RP200409, respectively.

Expenditures (Payroll-Related Transactions)

Procedures

1. Inquire of management and/or those charged with grant oversight to assess whether the University has a formal system to track time and effort of employees associated with the grant.
2. To test payroll direct expenditures for each grant, select a sample of the lesser of 10% or 60 payroll-related transactions from the fiscal year.

-
3. For employees that work solely in a single award/funding stream or cost objective, observe documentation covering the period in which the transaction occurred showing that the employee had a semi-annual certification signed by the employee or a supervisory official.
 - a. Note that the budgeted payroll costs pertaining to the selected employee or the position, related to the selected employee, have been included in the approved grant budget;
 - b. Obtain supporting documentation that the transaction occurred during the period covered by the grant award;
 - c. Agree the time allocated to the grant for the related pay period to the employee's time sheet or similar support;
 - d. Assess whether the employee's time sheet or similar documentation was approved by a supervisor;
 - e. Agree the employee's pay rate per the transaction to the employee's pay rate per their employee file and that the rate was properly approved; and
 - f. Assess whether the grantee has reported each employee whose salary or partial salary is paid by a CPRIT grant in the original Personnel Level of Effort (PLOE) submitted with the grant application.

Finding

In procedure 2, the sample size is 7, 14, and 7 items for grants R210148, RP240401, and RP200409, respectively. Exceptions noted. For all selected items, the University was unable to provide semi-annual certifications signed by an employee or supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee. According to the Director, Research Administrative Services, effort reports were temporarily suspended as the University reviews prior practices for generating effort reports, assesses the functionality of the current system, and identifies opportunities for improvement.

Equipment

Procedure

1. For each grant, select a sample of the lesser of 10% or 25 equipment purchases during the fiscal year and assess whether:
 - a. The purchase was included in a category in the contract approved budget.
 - b. The University's internal procedures for recording the receipt of the equipment were followed.
 - c. The item was included in the grant inventory report submitted to CPRIT.
 - d. If the purchase was greater than \$5,000, verify that the purchase was authorized by CPRIT prior to the purchase.
 - e. Observe the purchase documentation and assess whether the University has title to the equipment purchased or fabricated with grant funds.
 - f. If the University still owns the equipment, observe the equipment's physical location, assess whether it is located at the University, and agree the equipment's property tag to the property identification number reported in the inventory report to CPRIT.

- g. Obtain supporting documentation that the transaction occurred during the period covered by the grant award.

Finding

None of the selected grants had equipment purchases.

Revenue Sharing and Project Income

Procedures

1. Inquire of management whether any revenue was generated by the grant project during the preceding fiscal year.
2. Assess whether revenue or project income collected by the University for the year has been identified for each grant in accordance with the grant contract or CPRIT approval and reported on the annual Revenue Sharing/Program Income Report form to CPRIT.
3. For revenue sharing, obtain documentation to assess whether revenue generated by the sale or licensing of products or research developed through a CPRIT grant resulted in payment made to CPRIT and that the payment is accurate based on the grant contract and Revenue Sharing/Program Income Report form.

Finding

According to the Director, Research Administrative Services, no grants generated program income.

Reporting

Procedures

1. Inquire of the University's management and those charged with oversight over the CPRIT grant as to whether they are aware of any instances related of fraud, illegal acts, or noncompliance and whether they have been properly reported to the granting agency. Document management's response regarding any such acts that were reported.
2. To test the reporting requirements, select a sample of reporting time periods for the following reports:
 - a. **Quarterly** – Select two Financial Status Reports (due within 90 days of the end of the fiscal quarter). For Prevention Grants, also select two quarters of Data Elements.
 - b. **Annual** – Select the current year's Grant Progress Report and Project Data and other required annual reports (due within 60 days of the anniversary date of the Contract). The Grant Progress Report is filed along with the Single Audit Determination form, Historically Underutilized Business report, listing of equipment, inventory, and Revenue Sharing/Program Income Report form.
 - c. **End of Contract Term** – Grant Final Report, a final grant progress report, shall be filed no more than 90 days after the termination date of the Contract. The final grant progress report shall include a comprehensive description of the grantee's progress toward completing the scope of work specified by the Contract, as well as other information specified by CPRIT.
 - d. **Tranche Grant Progress Report (Product Development grantees only)** – In addition to annual Grant Progress Reports, Product Development grantees may submit a Grant Progress Report at the completion of specific tranches of funding specified in the Award Contract. A Tranche Grant Progress Report is not required when the completion of the tranche coincides with the end of the grant year. In that event, the annual Grant Progress Report is sufficient.

-
3. Perform the following procedures over the reports:
- a. Obtain applicable documentation and/or correspondence and assess whether required reports were filed within required timeframes as noted above.
 - b. Obtain documentation and/or correspondence to assess whether the reports were reviewed and approved by management and/or those charged with oversight over the grant prior to submission.
 - c. Agree all financial information included in reimbursement request reports for each request, and cumulatively for the reporting period, to the University's general ledger.
 - d. If applicable, assess whether any reimbursement payments have been withheld by inquiring of management and/or those charged with grant oversight. Document management's response for the reason for a delay in payment related to not complying with a grant contract requirement or any state or federal law. If funds had been withheld, note whether the withheld payments were released per applicable documentation.
 - e. For the Grant Progress Reports, in addition to the procedures above, assess whether the progress report includes a Single Audit Determination form, an inventory form, a Revenue Sharing/Program Income Report, and a Historically Underutilized Business/Texas Supplier report. Note any missing reports.
 - f. For the Grant Progress Reports, in addition to the procedures above, confirm that the other key data points related to project data (*i.e.*, publications, patent information, commercialization, etc.) are accurate for the period and supported by documentation from the University. Note any incorrect amounts.

Finding

No exceptions noted. According to the Director, Research Administrative Services, the University did not receive any product development grants.

Grant Closeout

Procedures

1. Obtain correspondence and/or related documentation showing the date the grantee submitted all required reports (as defined by the contract) to CPRIT to assess whether the submission was completed within the 145-day closeout period following the end of the contract term for each grant.
2. Select the last financial status report for the grant and assess whether it was submitted in a timely manner (as defined by the Contract) and that the related payment was received. If payment was delayed, inquire of management the reason for the delay and document the response.

Finding

Grant RP210148 ended 5/31/2025. Grant RP2100409 ended 8/31/2025. No exceptions noted.

Sampling

When selecting a sample, we followed CPRIT’s recommendation and used the guidance from the AICPA’s Audit and Accounting Guide: *Government Auditing Standards* and Circular A-133 Audits, Chapter 11 – Audit Sampling Considerations of Circular A-133 Compliance Audits:

Table 7: Audit Sampling Considerations

Frequency or Population Size	Sample Size
Quarterly (4)	2
Monthly (12)	3
Semimonthly (24)	6
Weekly (52)	8
Greater than 52 transactions:	
Expenditures	Lesser of 10% or 60
All other	Lesser of 10% or 25

We chose three grants from the schedule of CPRIT awards for testing as follows:

1. RP210148: Novel Pharmacodynamic Assay to Predict Response to CDK4/6 Inhibitor Therapy
2. RP240401: Lead Optimization, Target Engagement and Efficacy Studies of Locally Bioavailable COX-2 Inhibitors for Preventing Color Cancer Progression in FAP Children
3. RP200409: Transdiagnostic Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Smokers with Anxiety and Depression