

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON SYSTEM ENDOWMENT FUND
STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
Approved by the Board of Regents March 12, 2026

PREFACE

The University of Houston System Board of Regents is charged with the fiduciary responsibility for preserving and augmenting the value of the endowment, thereby sustaining its ability to generate support for both current and future generations of students. As part of a commitment to long-range financial equilibrium, the Regents have adopted the broad objective of investing endowment assets so as to preserve both their real value and the long-range purchasing power of endowment income so as to keep pace with inflation and evolving university needs, while generally performing above the average of the markets in which the assets are invested. Pursuant to Board Bylaw, the Endowment Management Committee (“Committee”) has been established as a standing committee to assist the Board in fulfilling its fiduciary responsibilities.

To achieve its investment objectives the University of Houston System retains independent investment managers each of whom plays a part in meeting the System’s goals over a variety of capital market cycles. The Committee shall:

- a) Review and recommend to the Board changes to investment policies;
- b) Review and recommend to the Board any change to the university advancement assessment rate;
- c) Review and recommend to the Board asset allocation long-term targets and ranges;
- d) Review and recommend to the Board external investment advisors;
- e) Monitor, evaluate, hire or terminate external investment managers;
- f) Establish investment manager guidelines;
- g) Monitor the actual allocation of assets through additions and withdrawals of funds among managers and investment media to conform to the long-term targets insofar as practical; and
- h) Oversee the results of the independent managers and report periodically to the Board and the university community.

FORWARD

This policy is intended to be ongoing until the next review is completed. Comprehensive reviews are to be completed every five years.

In addition to complying with the duty of loyalty imposed by Texas state law, each person responsible for making or retaining each and all investments and in acquiring, investing, reinvesting, exchanging, retaining, selling, supervising and managing System funds shall do so in good faith and with the care an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances. It is the general practice of the University of Houston System to pool endowment resources. For investment purposes however, the assets are managed in separate endowment fund accounts. The following statement sets out explicit policies for the pooled endowment but would apply to non-pooled holdings as well. The Regents seek superior

investment returns through professional management without assuming imprudent risks. In managing and investing the System's endowment assets, the following factors, if relevant, must be considered:

- a) general economic/capital market conditions;
- b) the possible effect of inflation or deflation;
- c) the expected tax consequences, if any, of investment decisions or strategies;
- d) the role that each investment or course of action plays within the overall investment portfolio;
- e) the expected return based on levels of liquidity and investment risk that are prudent and reasonable under present circumstances, and such circumstances may change over time;
- f) the expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments;
- g) other resources of the institution;
- h) the needs of the institution and the fund to make distributions and to preserve capital; and
- i) an asset's special relationship or special value, if any, to the charitable purposes of the institution.

Management and investment decisions about an individual asset must be made not in isolation but rather in the context of the System endowment's portfolio of investments as a whole and as part of an overall investment strategy having risk and return objectives reasonably aligned with the endowment fund's stated goals and objectives.

FINANCIAL OBJECTIVES

The primary long-term financial objective for the University endowment is to preserve and enhance the real (inflation-adjusted) purchasing power of endowment assets and income after accounting for endowment spending, inflation, and costs of portfolio management. Costs to manage and administer the endowment assets should be appropriate and reasonable in relation to the assets, the purposes of the endowment, and the skills of investment advisor(s) and investment manager(s) to whom investment management functions are delegated. Performance of the overall endowment against this objective is measured over rolling periods of five years.

INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES

In order to meet the financial objective stated above, the primary long-term investment objective of the endowment is to earn a total rate of return that exceeds the spending rate plus university advancement assessment fee, if any, plus the costs of managing the investment fund, and expressed in real (or inflation-adjusted) terms. Given the long-term System spending rate of 5.0% (which includes 4% payout and 1.0% university advancement assessment), the objective of this fund will be to earn a real (inflation adjusted) return of at least 5% when measured over rolling periods of at least five years. It is also understood that due to market conditions there may be five-year periods where this objective is exceeded and purchasing power is enhanced, as well as five-year periods where the objective is not met and purchasing power is diminished. The medium-term objective for the endowment is to outperform each of the capital markets in which assets are invested, measured over rolling periods of three to five years or complete market cycles, with emphasis on whichever measure is longer. In addition, the performance of the overall endowment is expected

to be consistently in at least the second quartile of the university's peer group, as measured by the NACUBO Study of Endowments over rolling five-year time periods, as well as comparison annually to a peer group provided by an outside investment advisor. Thus, the Committee is responsible for allocating assets to segments of the market and to managers who will provide superior performance when compared with both the median performance of other educational endowments and with capital markets generally.

Finally, the total return of the University's investment portfolio should be evaluated against the return of a composite index consisting of appropriate benchmarks weighted according to the Committee's asset allocation targets.

INVESTMENT MANAGERS

In accordance with Board policy, hiring of investment advisors requires approval of the Board. Hiring of investment managers requires Committee approval except, when on the recommendation of the committee staff and the investment advisor, the chair of the Committee and the chair of the Finance, Facilities, and Administration Committee jointly determine that time is of the essence and immediate action in lieu of a called committee meeting is necessary to hire or terminate an investment manager, the recommended change can then be made. The chair of the Committee will have the staff immediately report any such action taken to the members of the Committee and the Chairman of the Board of Regents after such action is taken.

Managers of marketable securities are expected to produce a cumulative annualized total return net of fees and commissions that exceeds an appropriate benchmark index over moving three to five-year periods, and should be above a median for active investment managers using similar investment philosophies over the same time periods. At their discretion, managers may hold cash and cash equivalents up to 25% of portfolio market value with the understanding that their benchmark will not be adjusted to reflect cash holdings. Managers who wish to exceed these limits should secure prior approval from the Treasurer. The Treasurer, in turn, shall seek approval from the Senior Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance or designee.

ENDOWMENT PAYOUT POLICY

The Regents of the University of Houston System have established an endowment payout policy which attempts to balance the long-term objective of maintaining the purchasing power of the endowment with the goal of providing a reasonable, predictable, stable, and sustainable level of income to support current needs. Payout is derived from interest, dividends and realized gains, net of portfolio management fees. The historical rate of payout has been 4 to 5 percent. Going forward, the endowment will maintain a payout rate of approximately 4% to 5%, with any change to this range to be approved by the Board. The payout rate will be based as a percentage of the fiscal year end market value average over rolling twelve quarter periods. If an endowment has been in existence less than twelve quarters, the average will be based on the number of quarters in existence.

UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT ASSESSMENT

The System will annually assess a reasonable fee against the earnings of specified endowment funds to offset expenses associated with gift acquisition and fundraising at the System universities. The Board shall review and approve changes to the fee rate. The fee will be based as a percentage of the fiscal year end market value averaged over rolling twelve quarter periods. If an endowment has been in existence less than twelve quarters, the average will be based on the number of quarters in existence.

APPROPRIATION FOR EXPENDITURE

The endowment payout and the University Advancement Assessment fee constitute the appropriation for annual expenditure. In making a determination to appropriate or accumulate, the institution shall act in good faith, with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances, and shall consider, if relevant, the following factors:

- a) the duration and preservation of the endowment fund;
- b) the purposes of the institution and the endowment fund;
- c) general economic conditions;
- d) the possible effect of inflation or deflation;
- e) the expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments;
- f) other resources of the institution; and
- g) the investment policy of the institution.

Generally, pursuant to the Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act, Chapter 163, Texas Property Code, as amended, subject to the intent of a donor in a gift instrument, the Board of Regents may appropriate for expenditure or accumulate so much of the endowment as it determines is prudent for the uses, benefits, purposes, and duration for which the endowment is established. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the Board of Regents may not appropriate for expenditure in any year an amount greater than nine percent (9%) of the endowment, calculated on the basis of market values determined at least quarterly and averaged over a period of not less than three years immediately preceding the year in which the appropriation for expenditure was made, so long as the fair market value of the endowment fund is at least \$450 million, otherwise the limit on the appropriation for expenditure in any year is 7%.

EXPENDITURE FROM UNDERWATER ENDOWMENTS

The Board, in managing and investing endowment assets, shall consider the charitable purposes of the institution and the purposes of the endowment fund. Subject to the intent of a donor expressed in an endowment gift instrument, the appropriation for expenditure from an endowment that is underwater in any year shall decrease incrementally and is eventually suspended when the market value of the endowment drops to a designated percentage of the endowment's historical dollar value. Historical dollar value (HDV) is the aggregate value of contributions made to an endowment over time without regard to increases or decreases because of investment results. The declining spending rate from endowments that are underwater, and not otherwise expressly prohibited by a donor, is as follows:

Fund Value as a Percent of HDV	Spending rate
90 – 99.9%	75% of normal spending rate
80 – 89.9%	50% of normal spending rate
<80.0%	Suspend distributions

ASSET SELECTION AND ALLOCATION

It is understood that return enhancement assets (or equities), including both public and private equities, are to be the dominant asset class in the Endowment due to the superior long-term return offered by such assets. As such, equity assets may be thought of as the drivers of long-term Endowment return.

Although the long-term return from equity assets is superior, they have three primary drawbacks that must be addressed by investing in diversifying growth and risk reduction assets. The first is that periods of prolonged economic contraction (deflation) can be catastrophic. Although such periods are rare, the results of such periods are severe enough to warrant holding a portion of the Endowment in assets that are likely to retain value or appreciate in value during such periods. The goal of such holdings would be to provide liquidity to the Endowment and a measure of protection from market drawdowns.

The second drawback to an overreliance on return enhancement assets is the effects of an unexpected rise in the rate of inflation. Such rises have traditionally been problematic for most types of equity assets, and given the System's stated goal of preserving purchasing power by achieving an attractive inflation adjusted return, some portion of the Endowment may be invested in assets that will appreciate in value during periods of unexpected inflation.

Lastly, equity assets are subject to greater degrees of risk. Risk takes many forms and is usually thought of in terms of volatility of investment returns. Volatile investment returns translate into a level of support for the System's programs that (even with the smoothing effect of the rolling three-year average market value payout rule) is variable over time. In order to control this variability to a tolerable level, some allocation to diversified growth assets that produce attractive returns, but in a more absolute (or less variable) pattern, may be warranted. It is understood that such absolute return assets will often return less than equity assets, but should provide some degree of volatility mitigation over the course of a market cycle.

After providing for the three broad categories noted above, the remainder of the Endowment should be invested in equity assets, broadly defined and broadly diversified. Broad diversification is required not only to further smooth the pattern of returns, but to protect the endowment from the risks associated with undue concentration in any one type of equity asset. Although other forms of diversification may be considered, it is understood that the Endowment's equity assets will be diversified by style (growth versus value), geography (domestic versus foreign), and market

capitalization (large-cap versus small-cap).

Current policy targets and ranges for the Endowment can be found in Appendix A.

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT RISKS

For the purposes of this section, “alternative investments” refers to investments in Private Equity, Private Debt, and Private Real Assets, as well as other investment types employing leverage, short sales, or illiquidity. The investments are made in the Endowment in order to improve diversification, reduce overall volatility, and enhance return. However, the Committee recognizes that these investments also present additional risks beyond those posed by investments in traditional marketable securities such as stocks and bonds. Among these risks are:

1. *Liquidity Risk*: most alternative investments impose restrictions on redemptions or require multi- year locks.

This risk is mitigated by imposing restrictions on the amount of the Endowment that may be allocated to alternative investments as detailed above. In addition, the Committee will review at least annually the level of portfolio liquidity across all asset classes in order to ensure that there is sufficient liquidity to meet all obligations.

2. *Non-regulation risk*: Historically, alternative managers have been exempt from registration with the SEC, which has allowed them to employ strategies (such as short sales and use of leverage) forbidden by most traditional investment managers, as well as to avoid disclosing specific details of their investment practices or portfolio holdings.

- a With the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, almost all alternative investment managers will be required to register with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. This Act will require registered managers to file documents with the SEC and for public record describing the nature of the business, fees charged, types of clients, and details on compliance policies. It will also provide to investors a greater level of detail into portfolio strategy and investment.

- b Venture capital managers will, however, remain exempt from the Investment Advisers Act and will therefore remain unregistered with the SEC.

- i This risk will be mitigated by performing detailed due diligence on these managers and monitoring them regularly as described below, as well as by diversifying manager risk through multiple direct and fund-of-fund investments.

3. *Transparency Risk*: alternative managers are not required to disclose portfolio holding details to the same extent that traditional marketable managers are, and are often reluctant to do so in order to preserve their perceived advantage over other investors.

- a This risk will be mitigated somewhat by the Dodd-Frank Act and the increased transparency provided by the requirement to file Form ADV with the SEC. Beyond that, however, the Committee, staff, and any outside advisors shall emphasize those managers who will provide at least the following level of detail into their investment portfolios:

- i Number of short and long positions
 - ii The use of leverage
 - iii Net market exposure

4. *Investment Strategy Risk*: alternatives often employ sophisticated and potentially riskier strategies, and may use leverage.
 - a This risk will be mitigated by intensive due diligence and monitoring of potential alternative managers described below. An emphasis will be placed on those managers who have extensive experience in employing these strategies, a demonstrated ability to consistently employ them effectively, and an established track record of superior performance.
5. *Foreign Currency Risk*: changes in exchange rates could adversely affect fair value of the Endowment Fund.
 - a The Committee recognizes that exposure to foreign currency acts as a hedge against a declining or collapsing dollar. In this way, such investments help to reduce risk in the portfolio. However, the Committee will review the level of exposure to foreign currencies periodically in order to ensure that there are no unintended risks in the portfolio.

The following principles shall guide the selection of alternative investment managers:

- Diversify across managers to mitigate systematic and organizational risk, but avoid over-diversification.
- Diversify by strategy and geography to decrease correlations within the program.
- Emphasize qualitative evaluation of managers, as a manager's quantitative characteristics may change over time and in different market conditions.
- Discourage the use of significant leverage, and emphasize managers with a demonstrated skill in generating returns on assets as opposed to returns on equity.
- Avoid strategies that are trading oriented, highly complex, or quantitatively driven.

In addition, the investment manager due diligence process shall include, but not necessarily limited to, the following functions, to be performed by some combination of outside advisors and internal staff:

- Background checks
 - Reference checks
 - News searches
 - Industry consultation
- Review of vendor relationships
 - Prime brokers
 - Auditors
 - Fund administrators
 - Legal counsel
- Operational review
 - On site visits
 - Procedural
 - Organizational

Monitoring of the overall program-level and manager-level exposures and investment results shall

be administered in accordance with the following schedule by some combination of outside advisors and internal staff:

Monthly (For Long/Short Equity and Absolute Return Managers)

- Reports of performance and asset allocation.
- Proactive contact with investment managers whose performance falls outside of the expected range.

Quarterly or Semi-Annually

- Calls with investment managers.
- For long/short equity and absolute return managers, detailed performance reports and analysis providing information such as top long positions, net and gross exposures, exposure by strategy and geography, and organizational changes.

Annually

- Diligence meetings with managers and attendance at annual meetings.

The Committee reviews and recommends to the Board the asset allocation long-term targets and ranges provided in Appendix A, and the actual allocation of assets will be adjusted through additions and withdrawals of funds among managers and investment media to conform to these targets insofar as practical.

REBALANCING

The Committee recognizes the importance of periodically rebalancing the Endowment's asset allocation, namely to ensure that variation in returns among assets do not create outsized deviations from target allocations that cause Endowment performance to diverge from expected policy performance. To the extent possible, and in order to control transaction costs, the Endowment will utilize naturally occurring cash movement opportunities to rebalance the Endowment portfolio. Such naturally occurring opportunities include:

- The sourcing of cash for spending needs (withdrawals)
- The infusion of cash (contributions) into the existing portfolio
- Manager changes (partial or complete subscriptions or redemptions)
- Other cash transactions (i.e., dividends, interest income, return on capital, etc.)

The Treasurer, in conjunction with the investment advisor and Senior Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance, will closely monitor asset allocation, and will periodically rebalance the portfolio, within allowable ranges set forth in this policy in an effort to control risk and enhance long-term return. Any rebalancing must occur across previously approved managers already held within the portfolio.

Any rebalancing actions taken between meetings of the Committee shall be communicated to the Committee by the Senior Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance or designee in a timely manner, but in any case no later than the next Committee meeting.

The objective of this rebalancing policy is to improve the compound return of the portfolio and to ensure that it is invested in accordance with long-term asset allocation targets. It is not the intention of this policy to force the System to take any action that may endanger the safety or impair the long-term return of the portfolio simply in order to remain in compliance with allowable ranges.

A clear illustration of such a scenario might be a market correction that reduces the value of the portfolio's marketable assets to an extent that forces the private investment allocation (the valuations of which lag those of marketable securities) beyond the allowable limits prescribed above. In order to stay in compliance in such a scenario, the System may be forced to sell interests in its private investment portfolio on the secondary market at a loss, impairing the overall Endowment's ability to recover from a correction of that magnitude.

Therefore, in the event of market action that threatens to force any allocation outside its allowable ranges, the chair of the Committee, in conjunction with the Board chair, with the advice of staff and investment advisor, may temporarily waive the allocation limits imposed above if it is determined that remaining in compliance may cause harm to the long-term return potential of the endowment.

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

The endowment of the System will be managed primarily by external investment management organizations. Investment managers have discretion to manage the assets in each portfolio to best achieve the investment objectives, within the policies and requirements set forth in this statement, the investment manager agreement with the System including the guidelines for each investment manager, and subject to the usual standards of fiduciary prudence.

The investment advisor for the System will be provided with this investment policy as part of the investment advisory contract. The advisory contract shall describe the role of the investment advisor and the objectives and comparative benchmarks that will be used to evaluate performance. The advisor will report performance quarterly, and if applicable monthly, consistent with these objectives. These statements will be consistent with the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policies for the overall endowment as set forth herein.

This investment policy will be provided to each manager of all separate accounts as a contract addendum. Managers will receive copies of policy updates when policy changes affect separately managed accounts. Additionally, each manager of separate accounts will be expected to use best efforts to realize the best execution price when trading securities, and the settlement of all transactions (except investment pool funds and mutual funds) shall be done on a delivery versus payment basis.

SECURITIES LENDING

Securities owned by the endowment but held in custody by the endowment custodian may be lent to other parties through a contract between the System and the custodian pursuant to a written agreement approved by the Board of Regents. Managers may not enter into securities lending

agreements without the consent of the Board of Regents. The System recognizes, however, that, for those investments placed in commingled vehicles, the Board cannot dictate whether or not the manager will engage in securities lending. Therefore, System and its investment advisor shall make every effort to limit investment to those managers who will not engage in securities lending. The limited partnerships of marketable and non-marketable alternative investments are excluded from this limitation.

PROXY VOTING

The System has delegated proxy voting responsibility for separately managed accounts to its investment managers. Such separate account managers are to vote proxies in such a way as to maximize the value of related shares and in a manner consistent with the best interests of the System. It is noted in the case of commingled vehicles, voting rights on underlying company shares do not flow through to the System.

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

The Committee may establish advisory groups to provide general investment advice, as well as advice on special investments, to the Committee and the staff of the Senior Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance.

DISCLOSURE AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Investment managers, advisors, and potential managers must make full and fair disclosure of all matters that could reasonably be expected to impair their independence and objectivity or interfere with their respective duties to the System. Investment managers and advisors must, on an annual basis, ensure that such disclosures are prominently set forth, are delivered in plain language, and communicate the relevant information using the Texas State Auditor's Uniform Disclosure Form and the Securities and Exchange Commission Form ADV if applicable to the firm. Furthermore, investment managers, advisors, and potential managers are investing public funds and are subject to the Texas Open Records Act.

REVIEW PROCEDURES

A. Performance Measurement

The Committee will review quarterly the performance of the endowment and each investment manager's portfolio relative to the objectives and guidelines described herein. The investment performance review will include comparisons with unmanaged market indices. A time-weighted return formula (that minimizes the effect of contributions and withdrawals) will be utilized for the overall endowment, although it is understood that individual managers may be evaluated using a dollar-weighted methodology, where appropriate.

B. Review and Modification of Policy

The Committee shall review this Policy at least once a year to determine if modifications are necessary or desirable. If substantive modifications are made, they shall be promptly communicated to responsible parties.

APPENDIX A

Current Policy Targets, Ranges, and Benchmarks

Asset Class	LT Target	Range	Benchmark
Public Equities	45%	38% to 60%	MSCI ACWI
<i>U.S. Equity</i>	22%	<i>15% to 30%</i>	Russell 3000
<i>Non-U.S. Developed Markets Equity</i>	13%	<i>8% to 18%</i>	MSCI EAFE
<i>Emerging Markets Equity</i>	0%	<i>0% to 5%</i>	MSCI Emerging Markets
<i>Global Equity</i>	10%	<i>0% to 20%</i>	MSCI ACWI
Private Markets	39%	25% to 45%	
<i>Private Equity</i> ¹	30%	<i>20% to 40%</i>	C A Global All PE (Qtr Lag)
<i>Private Debt</i>	3%	<i>0% to 6%</i>	C A Global Credit (Qtr Lag)
<i>Private Real Assets</i> ²	6%	<i>3% to 9%</i>	C A Global Real Assets w/ RE (Qtr Lag)
Diversifying Growth Assets	6%	0% to 10%	
Hedge Funds ³	6%	0% to 10%	HFRI Fund of Funds Composite
Risk Reduction Assets	10%	5% to 15%	
<i>Bonds and Cash</i>	10%	<i>5%-15%</i>	Dynamic Bonds and Cash Benchmark (Bloomberg Barclays Intermediate Aggregate Index and BofA ML 91 Day Treasury Bills)

¹ Private Equity: Managers to be considered for inclusion in this category include private equity and other related partnership funds with similar return objectives subject to multi- year lock-ups. Unfunded commitments plus NAV of Private Equity investments should not exceed 45% of the Endowment. If this limit is reached, it will be evaluated by the Board to determine if the limit remains appropriate.

Unfunded commitments plus NAV of all Private Investments (Private Equity, Private Debt, and Private Real Assets) should not exceed 55% of the Endowment total market value as of the period measured. If this limit is reached, it will be evaluated by the Board to determine if the limit remains appropriate.

² Private Real Assets: Assets included in this category may include private real estate, Energy, Infrastructure, Natural Resources, and Commodities. Unfunded commitments plus NAV of Private Real Assets investments should not exceed 18% of the Endowment total market value as of the period measured. If this limit is reached, it will be evaluated by the Board to determine if the limit remains appropriate.

³ Hedge Funds: Assets to be considered for inclusion in this category would primarily include Equity- Oriented Long-Short Hedge Funds, Defensive Arbitrage, Global Macro, and Multi-Strategy Hedge Funds. Other more liquid diversifying funds may also be included. Credit strategies may also be held in some circumstances as absolute return vehicles, and in some circumstances a particular real estate manager may be viewed to qualify as such a holding as well.